8/5/2013 To The HONORAble Court, This is my REPly To The SefENDANT'S Motion! I ASK-this HONORABLE Court to DIEASE Closely REVIEW the States Nly "Two" REASONS TO DENY the DEFENDANT'S tiON TO CORRECT AN ILLEGA! SENTENCE .. 1.) The State has Shown All the MOKE REASON Why the HONORGELE Court Should PEAR thE DEFENDENT'S MOTION, BASE OFF of the States OWN Admission that the SENTENCES IMPOSED IN BALTIMURE COUNTY AND BALLIMORE City iNDEED NERE IMPOSED After the AINE ARUNDEL COUNTY SENTENCE CASE NOW KEDT 13930 WAS IMPOSED FIRS ! AS A 15 YEAR CONCURRENT SENTENCE SUI Ask the HONORGELE Coyet, is this Not What hE DEFENDENT has Stated? The imposed UCS-AAP SENTENCE ON MARCH 28, 2008 is said to

Ask the Honorgble Court, is this Not What

the Defendant has Stated? The imposed

SENTENCE ON MARCH 28, 2008 is said to

Run Concurrent With Any other Dutstand
ING OR UNSERVED SENTENCE to BESAN ON

6.14. 2007. The Defendant had No other

SENTENCE for the 15 YEAR CONCURRENT

SENTENCE to Run With ... Why CAN't

the State understand the SENTENCE;

WAS imposed First "As Concurrent.

2) The State by its own Admission ONCE Again has Said that the Honorable Court CANNOT" IMPOSE A CONCURRENT SENTENCE to A SENTENCE that had Not YET BEEN Why is the State Not trying to help SÉÉ PRUÉ Justice dové by The Rules of the State AND the Court? I Ask the Honorable Court to PlEASE SEE Commitment Récords to Séé the true Statément And iNtegrity of the HONORG ble Judge William C. Mulford II, Who Accepted the States PLEA BARGAIN RECOMMENDATION, but look Upon the defendant's offense As MERITING the imposition of A 15 YEAR CONCURRENT SENTENCE. This MAS CAUSE CONFUSION with DOC COMMITMENT OFFICE DECAUSE they have INFACT CHANGE" the Judges SENTENCE to READ AS CONSECUTIVE NOT UNDERSTAND-INS how the 15 YEAR CONCURRENT SENTENCE Should RUN. I ASK that this HONORABLE Court Allow the DEFENDANT'S Motion to be GEARD AND NOT DENY the TRUE FACT That the DEFENDANT indeed has A 15 YEAR ONCURRENT SENTENCE. MR. Rises L. NEAL- 349.871